STATE OF CONNECTICUT

STATE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT COMMISSION

MAY 20, 2021 MEETING HELD VIA ZOOM CONVENED AT 9:06 a.m.

Ex

Board Members Present:

Peter Adomeit, Chairman

Angel Quiros, Trustee Carl Chisem, Trustee Claude Poulin, Actuarial Trustee John Flores, General Counsel, Office of the Treasurer, Officio Member Karen Buffkin, Trustee Karen Nolen, Trustee Mark Sciota, Municipal Liaison Martha Carlson, Deputy Comptroller, Ex Officio Member Michael Carey, Trustee Michael Bailey, Trustee Michael O'Brien, Trustee Robert D. Coffey, Trustee Sal Luciano, Trustee Sandra Fae Brown Brewton, Trustee Timothy Ryor, Trustee Janet Andrews, Trustee

Also Present:

Bruce Barth, Tax Counsel to the Commission, Robinson & Cole
Alisha Sullivan, Tax Counsel to the Commission, Robinson & Cole
John Herrington, Director, Retirement Services Division
Colin Newman, Assistant Director, Retirement Services Division
Yamuna Menon, General Counsel/Assistant State Comptroller,
Office of the State Comptroller
Cindy Cieslak, General Counsel to the Commission, Rose Kallor
Michael Rose, General Counsel to the Commission, Rose Kallor
Judge Beverly Streit Kefalas, Probate Court Administrator
Lisa Hansen, Probate Court

TRANSCRIPTIONIST: Karin Empson

1 (Proceedings commenced at 9:06 a.m.) 3 4 MS. CIESLAK: This is Cindy Cieslak. I did 5 not hit record a minute ago when you called the meeting 6 7 to order. There's been no other business. But, Peter, 8 can you please call the meeting to order again? MR. ADOMEIT: I will call the meeting to 10 order. And you didn't hear me because I was on mute. 11 MS. CIESLAK: Thank you. Chairman, would you like me to provide those who are in attendance? 12 MR. ADOMEIT: Please. 13 MS. CIESLAK: Sure. All right. 14 This is 15 Cindy Cieslak. Today we have Chairman Peter Adomeit; Trustee Angel Quiros; Trustee Carl Chisem; Actuarial 16 Trustee Claude Poulin; General Counsel to the Office of 17 the Treasurer and Ex Officio Member of the Commission, 18 19 John Flores; Trustee Karen Buffkin; Trustee Karen 20 Nolen; Municipal Liaison Mark Sciota; Deputy 21 Comptroller and Ex Officio Member of the Commission, 22 Martha Carlson; Trustee Michael Carey; Trustee Michael 23 Bailey; Trustee Michael O'Brien; Trustee Robert Coffey; Trustee Sal Luciano; Trustee Sandra Fae Brown Brewton; 24 25 Actuarial Trustee Tim Ryor.

We have Bruce Barth, Tax Counsel from Robinson & Cole. We also have Alisha Sullivan, Tax Counsel from Robinson & Cole. We have John Herrington, Division Director from the Retirement Services Division; Colin Newman, Assistant Director from the Retirement Services Division; Yamuna Menon, General Counsel and Assistant Comptroller. We also have Cindy Cieslak from Rose Kallor; that's me. And we have Michael Rose from Rose Kallor.

I believe that is - oh, sorry. We also have two guests. We have Judge Beverly Streit-Kefalus from the Probate Court, and Lisa Hansen from the Probate Court.

Did I miss anyone? All right, Peter. I think we are all set.

MR. ADOMEIT: All right. Well, my Chairman's Report is another compliment to the Division, to John Herrington, Marty Carlson, for having kept this whole thing going, and actually, in some ways, speeded the whole thing up. So congratulations and keep it up.

Okay. We have Yamuna here, who is our new general counsel. I'd like to welcome her.

And I'll turn it over to Division Director, $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{John Herrington.}$

MR. HERRINGTON: Good morning, everyone. So

before you, you have the analytics for our (inaudible) over the post month. We continue to make progress towards all of our priorities. We are in a very good spot with respect to the disability application and initial-meetings goal. I think through this summer, we should get to a point where we're going to be scheduling meetings up through September for a number, and then the applications that come in between now and then. And so probably from September or October forward, we should be, you know, much closer to being within maybe 90 days from the submission of an application to the hearing for individuals that submit complete files.

So that's a considerable amount of progress from where we've been historically. We continue to reduce the disability backlog with the goal of completely eliminating the backlog by the end of this calendar year.

In terms of the normal retirement audits, for May, the number was just a little bit higher than it was last year and higher than the 10-year average. You know, that is what we would expect to see between now and July of 2022. We would expect to see, you know, increased numbers each month. What we are also interested in seeing is we are preparing for the

potential that July may be an overly heavy month considering the fact that there may or may not be a requirement for more State employees to return to State offices and that that might be an indicis for increased numbers of retirements.

In terms of our release of the self-service benefit estimator, we are just over half at this point. There are somewhere around 14,000 perspective 2022 retirees out there. We've released this tool to just about 8,900 of those individuals. We've released this largely to the self-service reporting agencies that have historical data in CORE and the largest of those. We have kind of a catch-all release - we're releasing the tool every two weeks. We have a catch-all release next Monday to some of the smaller agencies.

And then we are going to shift our focus on some of the more difficult agencies for us to work with, those agencies that haven't historically reported to CORE. So there's a requirement for much more interaction and collaboration in terms of gathering the data for those agencies. We're starting with judicial and hope to have that rolled out through June. And then the heaviest lift is going to be from that point forward dealing with the higher education agencies,

and community colleges. We are also - also UConn Health Center.

We are working very closely with UConn and UConn Health Center and we've made great strides in terms of identifying the relevant population and sharing information. I would say, you know, just as with the pandemic, this process of preparing for 2022 really has kind of accelerated our kind of abilities and capabilities to deal with this new technology that we have. So there's lots of things that we're working through, but I think at the end of the day, we're doing everything that we can to be prepared for 2022 and then beyond that. You know, I think that the Division is going to be in a much better situation or position to deal with volumes going forward.

Another issue that we brought to the application is the compilation we've made, revisions to the compilation, that was submitted to the IRS. We have all of our changes completed to that document and we will circulate that to tax counsel, to Peter, and likely to wider populations in the next couple of days and weeks.

And that's all I have for this month's report.

MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Before I ask Marty to

comment, I'm going to introduce Michael O'Brien, who is our new commissioner from CSEA. And welcome aboard,

Michael. And I am-

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Peter.

MR. ADOMEIT: Marty?

MR. HERRINGTON: Marty, you're on mute.

MS. CARLSON: Just to add on to what John was saying, as we head into that, you know, June of 2022, you may be aware or may be not that the administration has executed an HR consolidation, which created a number of pods over at DAS of specialists to work on certain issues. There is a retirement pod over there. That initially was under-resourced and now I believe they're hiring a couple of people. But we've been having discussions with them, you know, sort of helping them stand up what they need to do there. The goal of the consolidation, we know, was not to give the retirement division more work, so we're working very carefully with Nancy Harnick and her team over there to make sure that doesn't happen.

And the other thing we're going to play, I think, probably for the fall, John, or - we haven't discussed timing yet. But we're going to put together what I'm calling a - you know, a 2022 SWAT team for retirement with these pods over at DAS and members of

our Retirement Division staff together meeting regularly to try to make sure that we can handle what's coming.

I think right now - John, correct me if I'm wrong - the pod is handling about 150 current retirements a month, and it's a burden for them, and we're trying to figure out how we can help them through technology to keep that going.

John, I have other (inaudible). Do you have anything to add to that on that issue?

MR. HERRINGTON: No, I don't. What I would say is certainly, that's a focus, and we would hope that we can find some improvements in efficiencies with the pod. But we also are going to need to partner with some of the other larger agencies as well-

MS. CARLSON: Right.

MR. HERRINGTON: --judicial and UConn. And our whole process through the SAG was very helpful in terms of the collaboration that we had with those stakeholders. And I would expect that, you know, we may need to pursue this on parallel tracks, but at one point, you know, once we have some clear plans, it might be helpful to have that entire group come together.

MS. CARLSON: Right. And then secondarily, I

```
1
     would like to also introduce our new general counsel.
2
     You all know that Natalie Braswell transitioned over to
3
     DEEP. I'd like to introduce Yamuna Menon, who we all
4
     know as Yam, and just give her a moment to say hi and
     sort of give her background a little bit.
5
                Yam?
6
7
                MS. MENON: Hi, folks. Just want to
8
     introduce myself. I'm Yam Menon. I've been in the job
     about a month now. Longtime Connecticut resident and
9
     went to law school here. That's how I know Cindy and
10
     several others in State government.
11
                So, looking forward to working with all of
12
13
     you. Thanks.
                MR. ADOMEIT: All right. Cindy, could you
14
15
     correct the record? I mispronounced Yam's name.
16
               MS. MENON: Thanks, Peter.
               MR. ADOMEIT: Yeah. I'm sure you caught it.
17
18
               MS. MENON: It happens all the time.
19
               MR. ADOMEIT: Yeah. Welcome aboard.
20
               MS. MENON: Thank you.
21
               MR. ADOMEIT:
                             Okay.
               MS. CIESLAK: Peter, before you call the
22
23
     agenda-
                MR. ADOMEIT: Sure.
24
                MS. CIESLAK: This is Cindy Cieslak. I just
25
```

```
1
     wanted to welcome Janet Andrews, Trustee for the
2
     Retirement Commission.
3
                MR. ADOMEIT:
                             Okay.
4
                MR. CIESLAK: And also Attorney Zimberlin,
     who is representing Ms. Williams-Knight, who is on the
5
     agenda, I believe, as Item 17.
6
7
                MR. ADOMEIT:
                             That's interesting.
                MS. CARLSON: Item 18. I think it's Item 18,
8
     you mean. Item 17 is probate.
9
                MS. CIESLAK: Then I believe a motion to
10
     amend the agenda is in order because - this is Cindy
11
     Cieslak. I had just printed out the agenda that was
12
     posted on the website, and I have 16 as the personnel
13
     expenses from probate, 17 as Ms. Williams-Knight. And
14
15
     I do know that the Judge and Ms. Hansen are here for
     another probate matter. I do not believe it's on the
16
     agenda that is posted on the website, so a motion to
17
     amend would be in order.
18
19
                MR. ADOMEIT: Miss Cindy, I don't have a copy
20
     of that agenda.
21
                MR. LUCIANO: I don't either.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Yeah.
22
23
                MR. POULIN: I don't either.
                MS. CIESLAK: I'm going to pull it up here
24
     and I'll put the link in the chat.
```

```
1
                MR. ADOMEIT:
                              Okay.
2
                MS. CIESLAK: If you'd give me a minute.
3
                MR. ADOMEIT: Yeah, I'll just try (inaudible)
     mine. Sal needs it too.
4
                MR. CIESLAK: I have the link.
5
                MR. LUCIANO: I actually have the newest one.
6
7
     Seventeen says request Commission approval of the
     probate judges fund lump sum contribution. Eighteen is
8
     request received from Tina Williams-Knight, that the
9
     Commission review and reconsider its October 15^{\rm th}, 2020
10
     denial of her request for the Retirement Commission to
11
     direct that her May 1, 2009 application for service-
12
     related disability go before the Medical Examining
13
     Board for reconsideration of the Board's November 20th,
14
15
     2009 denial; appearance via Zoom.
                MS. CARLSON: Cindy, if everybody has the
16
     correct one, or the one that we're working on right now
17
     is the correct one, is it not just a matter of
18
19
     substituting the correct one on the website? There's
20
     no motion here; right? We've all got the right one.
                MS. CIESLAK: Mike Rose, correct me if I'm
21
22
     wrong. I believe because the agenda that was posted
23
     does not have the probate matter on it, a motion to
```

MR. ROSE: I believe it would, Cindy.

amend would be in order.

24

```
MR. LUCIANO: Since the public notice doesn't
1
2
     have Item 18, I make a motion that we amend the agenda
3
     to include Item 18, the one I just read.
               MS. BROWN BREWTON: Fae Brown Brewton,
4
     second.
5
               MR. ADOMEIT: All in favor, say aye.
6
7
               MS. CARLSON: Question. I have a question.
               MR. ADOMEIT: Ouestion? Yes.
8
               MS. CARLSON: Indeed. What is the one that's
9
     missing off of the agenda on the website?
10
11
               MS. CIESLAK: I think - thank you, Marty.
     This is Cindy Cieslak. I think a clarification can be
12
     made that Item 17, which is Ms. Williams-Knight,
13
     request for reconsideration, be moved to Item 18. And
14
15
     the matter with the probate court be added as Item 17.
     And Sal, if you wouldn't mind reading the language of
16
     the new Item 17.
17
                MR. ADOMEIT: Is that the request, commission
18
19
     approval of probate judges?
20
               MR. CIESLAK: Well, there is an Item 16 that
     involves the probate judges' personnel expenses, which
21
     is a regular matter on the agenda traditionally.
22
23
               MR. LUCIANO: It's - 17 is the lump sum.
     Seventeen is the lump sum.
24
                MS. CARLSON: I think - right, right.
```

```
1
     just need to read that, Sal.
2
                MR. LUCIANO: Request Commission approval of
3
     the probate judges fund lump sum contribution, not
     attached.
4
                MR. ADOMEIT: All right. Have we amended the
5
     agenda to include that 17 and the 18 that Sal read?
6
7
                MR. CIESLAK: The motion has been made and
8
     seconded. It was made by Mr. Luciano and seconded by
     Ms. Brown Brewton. There was some discussion.
9
                Chairman, I believe you can call the vote
10
11
     now.
                MR. ADOMEIT: All right. Motion to amend the
12
     agenda, all in favor, say aye.
13
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
14
15
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have it
     unanimously. All right.
16
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to accept the minutes of
17
     April 15<sup>th</sup>, 2021.
18
19
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
20
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
     all in favor, say aye.
21
22
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
23
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
     it.
24
25
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to approve the
```

```
1
     Chairman's per diem.
2
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
3
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
     all in favor, say aye.
4
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
5
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
6
7
     it.
8
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to approve the union
     actuaries' expenses with Claude abstaining.
9
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
10
11
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
12
     all in favor, say aye.
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
13
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
14
15
     it.
16
                MR. LUCIANO: Item 4, motion to approve
     management, Tim's expenses, with Tim abstaining.
17
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
18
19
                MR. ADOMEIT: There was a second, thank you.
20
     Any discussion? All in favor, say aye.
21
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
22
23
     it.
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to accept expenses from
24
     our legal consultants.
25
```

```
MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
1
                MR. ADOMEIT: And that's number 5?
3
                MR. LUCIANO: Item 6.
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
4
                MR. ADOMEIT: Yeah. All in favor, say aye.
5
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
6
7
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
     it.
8
9
               MR. LUCIANO: Motion to approve Items 7 and
     8, Retirement System Service Retirements and Voluntary
10
11
     Pending Retirements.
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
12
               MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
13
     all in favor, say aye.
14
15
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
16
               MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
     it.
17
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to approve Item 9, State
18
19
     Employees System Disability Retirements.
20
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
21
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
     all in favor, say aye or raise your hand.
22
23
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Thank you. Thank you, Karen.
24
     The ayes have it.
25
```

```
1
                MR. LUCIANO: Motions to approve Items 10 and
2
     11.
3
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
4
     all in favor, say aye.
5
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
6
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
7
     it.
8
9
               MR. LUCIANO: Motion to accept Items 12 and
     13 from the Medical Examining Board.
10
11
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
12
     all in favor, say aye.
13
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
14
                MR. ADOMEIT: Or raise your hand. Thanks,
15
16
     Karen. Opposed, nay. The ayes have it.
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to approve Items 14 and
17
     15.
18
19
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
               MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Okay. All in
20
21
     favor, say aye or raise your hand.
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
22
23
               MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
     it.
24
                MR. LUCIANO: A motion to approve Item 16,
25
```

```
1
     request Commission approval of the Probate Judges and
2
     Employees Retirement Fund Personnel Expenses.
3
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
4
     all in favor, say aye.
5
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
6
7
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
     it.
8
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to approve Item 17,
     Probate Judges Fund Lump Sum Contribution.
10
                MR. BAILEY: Second.
11
                MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Do we need discussion?
12
     I guess not. All in favor, say aye.
13
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
14
15
                MR. ADOMEIT: Or raise your hand. Opposed,
     nay, or raise your hand. The ayes have it.
16
                MR. LUCIANO: Item 18 is the request received
17
     from Tina Williams-Knight that the Commission review
18
19
     and reconsider its October 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020 denial. I believe
     she has counsel, and I believe she's on. If you want
20
21
     to, I'll hand it over to the Chair to invite them to do
     whatever.
22
23
                MR. ADOMEIT: Yes. Attorney Zimberlin, could
     you, in a nutshell - we've all read this obviously.
24
     Tell us what the case is about-
25
```

```
1
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Yes. (Inaudible)
2
                MR. ADOMEIT: -- and why you think
     reconsideration is necessary.
3
4
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: I'm sorry. Tina is walking
     in right now. She's in a walker. She's right at our
5
     door.
6
7
                MR. ADOMEIT: All right.
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: So if I could get one minute
8
     to get her in here.
9
                MR. ADOMEIT: Sure.
10
11
                Yeah, Attorney Zimberlin?
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Yes.
12
               MR. ADOMEIT: Yeah, as you know, the standard
13
     here is any new material that had not been previously
14
15
     before the Commission. And I take it you are - you
     have a letter from a Dr. Belski (phonetic) dated
16
     12/15/20, and the memo to us from Attorney Cieslak
17
     indicates that that has not been previously given to
18
19
     the Commission.
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: That's right. That's kind of
20
     new. I'd like to just kind of make kind of a statement
21
22
     about the whole thing and kind of the extenuating
23
     circumstances and an oral history on the case.
                Well, this is Tina Williams-Knight. We're
24
```

requesting that this Commission allow her to proceed to

the Medical Examining Board due to the extenuating circumstances, which prevented her from the timely filing from the 2017 Division letter. The Medical Examining Board initially denied Tina's disability application in 2009 because the record did not clearly establish that her condition was not treatable and her condition did not render her permanently unable to perform her previous occupation.

applied the incorrect standard of review in its 2009 decision. A member need not prove that she is clearly entitled to disability benefit, only that a member proves by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she is disabled. Moving back to the current appeal, in 2012, the regulations were passed requiring that applicants appeal Medical Examining Board decisions within one year of the denial.

On March 24th, 2017, the Division sent Tina a letter stating that she had one year to appeal the 2009 Board decision. The Division also sent Tina a letter requesting a response if she was not intending to seek reconsideration on her disability application. Tina did not—

MR. ADOMEIT: Your audio went.

MR. ZIMBERLIN: Yes?

```
1
               MR. ADOMEIT: Attorney Zimberlin, we can't
2
     hear you.
3
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Can you hear me now?
4
                MR. ADOMEIT: Attorney Zimberlin, we can't
5
     hear you.
               MR. ZIMBERLIN: Okay, okay.
6
7
               MR. LUCIANO: I can.
               MS. CIESLAK: Peter, can you hear me?
8
               MR. LUCIANO: Yeah, I can hear.
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: We all can hear.
10
11
               MS. CIESLAK: Okay. I think it might be -
     this is Cindy Cieslak. It might be Peter's audio. I'm
12
     going to send him a text message real quick, or
13
14
     actually a message in the chat.
               MR. ADOMEIT: Attorney Zimberlin, you're on
15
     mute. We cannot hear you. At least, I can't.
16
               MR. ZIMBERLIN: I (inaudible) everybody hear
17
18
     me?
19
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Yes.
20
               MR. ZIMBERLIN: Mr. Adomeit, can you hear me?
21
               MR. ADOMEIT: Ah, thank you, Cindy.
22
               MR. ZIMBERLIN: Okay.
23
               MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Just one second here.
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Yep.
24
               MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Proceed, please.
25
```

MR. ZIMBERLIN: Okay. So basically the Retirement Services Division sent Tina a letter asking her to respond within one year or she also - there was a part in the letter that said that she could waive her right and not proceed with the disability retirement application. Tina did not respond to either of those documents in that correspondence.

On July 30th, 2019, over one year later, Tina, through our office, requested reconsideration of the Board's denial. Tina's extenuating circumstances, which prevented an earlier appeal of all medical in nature, even after the one year passed, Tina was only able to request reconsideration through counsel, help from her family members, and some stabilization of two medical conditions, which resulted in hospitalizations during the timeframe of 2016 through 2019.

During the initial claim to SERC, I outlined three reasons why this Commission should find extenuating circumstances. First, our office sent over an affidavit detailing Tina's memory of her health condition over the past decade, and more specifically, from the timeframe of 2017 through 2019, including two life-threatening hospitalizations including a blood clot at the end of 2016, which resulted in slurred speech and a slumped eye, and an appendix burst in

2017.

Our office also submitted over 1,500 pages of medical records, which showed consistent treatment from 2005 through the present time. These records were not submitted in an intent to prove disability as of today. The records were submitted to show that Tina had a serious medical condition from the time of active employment through the current period, and also more specifically, from the 2017 to 2019 timeframe, which was life-threatening.

The more current records also responded to the Medical Examining Board's determination that Tina did not prove that her condition was not treatable.

New medical records are evidence that her previous condition was not treatable. Additionally, our office submitted—

MR. ADOMEIT: Attorney Zimberlin, I'm going to interrupt you at this point and focus in on what the issue is before us right now. We're here to determine whether there are extraordinary circumstances to allow her to seek reconsideration from the MEB after the one-year deadline. So that's the issue before us, so could you please focus on that.

MR. ZIMBERLIN: Okay. Well, the final argument is Regulation $5-155-10\,(b)$ relates to petitions

```
for review of a benefit denied and it states basically
1
2
     that the Medical Examining Board is probably the proper
3
     entity which will determine a medical issue. This
4
     regulation does not refer to the typical MEB denial,
     and those regulations are in 5-155(a).
5
                It's our contention that this request to
6
7
     grant her review where the Medical Examining Board can,
     you know, reach the merits of the claim be granted.
8
                And if there's any questions, Tina is here to
9
10
     answer them.
11
                MR. ADOMEIT: All right. Does anybody have
     any questions?
12
                MR. CAREY: Mr. Chairman, this is Mike Carey.
13
     I think I have two questions, please.
14
15
                MR. ADOMEIT: Sure. Go ahead.
                MR. CAREY: Attorney Zimberlin, I believe
16
     somewhere in the package that I saw that at some point
17
     your client had applied for and was granted social
18
19
     security disability.
                Would you be able to tell us when that
20
     application was made and when, if and when, social
21
     security disability was granted, please?
22
23
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Social security, it says our
```

records show that she became disabled February $15^{\rm th}$,

2009. Her previous attorney who represented her for

24

```
1
     social security has passed away, but I'm not sure when
2
     she-
3
                Do you remember when you applied? Was it
     around the time that you left State service?
4
                Around 2010, the timeframe, 2011, is when she
5
     was granted.
6
7
                MR. CAREY: Are there records of that, sir?
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Yes. I can do a share-
8
     screen. We did submit some social security documents.
9
     I'm not sure if I have the ability to share with the
10
     screen, but-
11
                MR. ADOMEIT: Yeah, I have to give you that
12
     ability. Just one second. Or Cindy has to because
13
     she's the host.
14
15
                MS. CIESLAK: One second. Attorney
     Zimberlin, can you try now?
16
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Just one second. This is the
17
     document, if you can see it. It says - it's dated
18
19
     August 8, 2017 and it just shows that she became
     disabled on 2009.
20
               MS. CIESLAK: Attorney Zimberlin, we are not
21
22
     seeing it. I'm going to check the settings. Oh, here
23
     it comes up.
                MR. ADOMEIT: There we go.
24
25
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Okay.
```

```
1
               MR. ADOMEIT: Perfect. We see it.
2
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Do you remember going in
3
     front of the judge for social security?
4
                MS. WILLIAMS-KNIGHT: No, no.
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: So she was probably granted
5
     at the initial or the Recon level.
6
7
                MR. CAREY: So I can definitely see it.
     not quite sure I can read it. But I can see an
8
     underlying date of 2/15/2009.
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: That's just when social
10
11
     security says that she first became too disabled from
     working.
12
13
               MR. CAREY:
                            Okay.
               MR. ZIMBERLIN: That's not only just her past
14
15
     job, but any job that exists in the economy.
               MR. CAREY: And so does that mean that
16
     benefits were afforded retroactive to that date, sir?
17
               MR. ZIMBERLIN: There's a six-month waiting
18
19
     period, so her monetary benefits probably started July,
     and that's for everybody who files for social security.
20
               MR. CAREY: Of 2009?
21
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Right. So probably June of
22
23
     2009. That's what she's been living off of.
                MR. CAREY: Thank you. I do have one other
24
     question. Is it correct that your client is currently
25
```

```
not receiving any pension benefit from the State of
1
2
     Connecticut?
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: (Inaudible)
3
                MS. WILLIAMS-KNIGHT: (Inaudible)
4
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: I believe that's correct.
5
                Did you opt into the early?
6
7
                MS. WILLIAMS-KNIGHT: (Inaudible)
8
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Did you opt into early
     retirement?
9
                MS. WILLIAMS-KNIGHT: (Inaudible)
10
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: She did mention that she
11
     opted into the early retirement to me.
12
                MR. CAREY: Okay. So does that mean she is
13
     getting a pension currently, or no, please?
14
15
                MS. WILLIAMS-KNIGHT: (Inaudible)
16
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: She says she's not getting
     anything right now.
17
                MR. CAREY: Has she applied to receive a
18
19
     pension? I believe based upon the materials I saw that
     she is currently eligible. That's why I ask.
20
21
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: It looks like she would be
     eligible for the early retirement.
22
23
                MR. CAREY: Correct.
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Yeah.
24
                MR. CAREY: But - so she's not getting a
25
```

```
pension right now from the State of Connecticut?
1
                MS. WILLIAMS-KNIGHT: No, I'm not.
2
3
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: No.
4
                MR. CAREY: Thank you. Thank you, Attorney
     Zimberlin.
5
                Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Those are all the
6
7
     questions that I had.
                MR. ADOMEIT: All right. Thank you.
8
                You could stop the screen-share now, Attorney
9
     Zimberlin.
10
11
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Oh.
                MR. ADOMEIT: There we go. Thank you.
12
                All right. Does anybody else have any other
13
14
     questions?
15
                MR. POULIN: Yes, I do have a question.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Claude?
16
                MR. POULIN: In Exhibit A, it says that she
17
     was a member of Tier II, which is non-contributory.
18
19
     But in your affidavit of November 2019, she says that
     she was a member of Tier I. Now, since she was hired
20
     in 1984, she would have been eligible, on October 1,
21
     1985, to go from Tier II to Tier I. But did this
22
23
     happen?
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Do you remember opting into
24
     Tier I?
25
```

```
1
               MS. WILLIAMS-KNIGHT: (Inaudible)
2
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: She's basically saying that
3
     she didn't receive notice about the Tier I option, but
4
     she's not a hundred-percent sure what happened with
     that. It's been so long ago for her, and I think that
5
     could be figured out after - you know, when she goes in
6
7
     front of the Board, but we don't know right now.
                MR. POULIN: Thank you.
8
               MR. ADOMEIT: All right. Are there any
9
     further questions from anyone, or comments? All right.
10
11
     Hearing none, I will close the hearing then, obviously
     just the evidentiary part of it.
12
                Thank you, Attorney Zimberlin.
13
               MR. ZIMBERLIN: Thank you for having us.
14
15
                MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Are you folks ready to
     discuss it now?
16
                MS. BROWN BREWTON: I think we need a motion
17
     before we discuss.
18
19
               MR. ADOMEIT: Yeah, we do.
20
               MS. BROWN BREWTON: This is Fae.
21
                MR. LUCIANO: I make a motion that she go
22
     back to the Medical Examining Board.
23
                MS. BROWN BREWTON: I second that. Fae.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Is there any discussion
24
     on the motion? Hearing none, all in favor, say aye.
```

```
1
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
2
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
3
     it.
4
                Thank you very much. Thank you, Attorney
     Zimberlin.
5
                MR. ZIMBERLIN: Have a good rest of the day.
6
7
     Thank you.
8
                MR. LUCIANO: Motion to go into executive
     session for purposes of virtual meetings, written legal
9
     opinion.
10
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
11
                MR. ADOMEIT: All in favor, say aye.
12
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
13
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
14
15
     it.
                MS. CIESLAK: Mr. Luciano, before I turn the
16
     recording off, can you confirm that your motion
17
     includes to invite Alisha Sullivan, Bruce Barth, Colin
18
19
     Newman, John Herrington, Michael Rose, Yam Menon, and
     Cindy Cieslak?
20
21
                MR. LUCIANO: Yes, because all of those
     people may have information that's pertinent.
22
23
                MR. ADOMEIT: Yeah, Judge Beverly is still
     online.
24
25
                JUDGE STREIT-KEFALAS: I just-
```

```
1
                MR. ADOMEIT: We have granted her request, so
2
     there's no reason for her to hang around.
3
                JUDGE STREIT-KEFALAS: And if I may just take
     an opportunity to thank all of you for approving the
4
     request. Have a great day. Thank you.
5
               MR. ADOMEIT: Thank you.
6
                MS. CIESLAK: Thank you.
7
                MR. ADOMEIT: All right. Cindy?
8
                MS. CIESLAK: Give me one second.
9
                (The Board was in executive session from 9:03
10
11
     a.m. to 9:50 a.m.)
                MR. LUCIANO: --ends the executive session.
12
     And we're back on the record.
13
                And so I'd like to make a motion that we
14
15
     approve Armando Bettini's request for reconsideration.
16
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
17
     all in favor, say aye.
18
19
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
20
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay? The ayes have
     it, unanimous.
21
22
                MR. LUCIANO: Mike, you want to give Vito
23
     Barbagallo a shot?
                MR. CAREY: Absolutely. Mr. Chairman, in the
24
     matter of Mr. Barbagallo, I'd like to move that the
25
```

```
1
     Commission grant his request for reconsideration and
2
     that an appearance be scheduled before the Commission.
3
                MR. POULIN: Second.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Any discussion? Hearing none,
4
     all in favor, say aye.
5
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
6
7
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
     it.
8
               MR. LUCIANO: (Inaudible)
               MS. BROWN BREWTON: Mr. Chairman?
10
11
                MR. ADOMEIT: Yes.
                MS. BROWN BREWTON: This is Fae. And I'd
12
     like to make a recommendation regarding Mr. Krasinsky,
13
     that the Commission accept the recommendation of the
14
15
     Subcommittee on his request.
16
                MR. LUCIANO: Second.
                MR. ADOMEIT: And the Subcommittee denied his
17
     - recommended that you deny the request; correct?
18
                MS. BROWN BREWTON: Correct.
19
                MR. CAREY: That is correct.
20
21
                MR. ADOMEIT: All right. Is there any
     further discussion? Hearing none, all in favor, say
22
23
     aye.
24
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
25
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
```

```
1
     it, unanimous.
2
                MR. LUCIANO: The recommendation for - or a
3
     motion for adjournment is in order.
                MR. BAILEY: Bailey, second.
4
                MS. BROWN BREWTON: Second.
5
                MR. ADOMEIT: All in favor, say aye.
6
7
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Aye.
8
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
     it.
9
10
                MR. LUCIANO: Thank you, everybody. Be safe.
                MR. HERRINGTON: You too.
11
12
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: You too.
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Take care.
13
14
            (Adjourned at 10:19 a.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I, Karin A. Empson, do hereby
certify that the preceding pages are an accurate
transcription of the Connecticut State Employees
Retirement Commission meeting held electronically via
Zoom, conducted at 9:06 a.m. on May 20, 2021.
Karin G. Empson
Karin A. Empson
09/01/2021
Date